Saint Francis University

STUDENT SATISFACTION SURVEY 2008 Section 1 Data Analysis May 2008

Office of Institutional Research and Assessment

Executive Summary

Student Satisfaction Survey 2008 Results

Background

The Office of Institutional Research & Assessment, in collaboration with Academic Affairs(P. Skoner), the Student Government Association, and Information Technology (T. Wilson) developed a student satisfaction questionnaire to gauge the general pulse of undergraduate students at Saint Francis. The intent of the short survey was to collect student perceptions and opinions on a wide range of student and academic services in a nonintrusive and convenient way. The survey was to be viewed as a "pilot" with intention of administering the instrument in every spring semester from this year forward.

Methodology

The survey instrument was composed of 22 questions of which 1 through 20 pertained to student service areas of the university and questions 21 and 22 were open-ended with text boxes attached. In Q 1 to Q19, students were asked to rate their satisfaction for each service from "Very Satisfied" to "Very Dissatisfied". A sixth option was also available for students that felt they were unable to judge or it was not applicable. In Q 20, students were asked to rate the usefulness of various learning resources that they used at the university.

The survey was sent to 1,363 undergraduates by email (which contained a link to the web-based survey instrument). Survey Monkey software was used to build the questionnaire and collect the student data. As an incentive for students, the Student Government Association donated six prizes that were used in a drawing for all respondents that chose to include their email address at the beginning of the survey.

Three separate email contacts were sent to students; one initial "kick off" letter from the President and two follow-up reminders during finals week. The survey link was open to students to respond for 8 days (April 17th to April 25th).

Survey Response

Of the 1,363 surveys sent out, 528 (38.8%) were completed. Of those 528, 6 were discarded because they were intentional duplicates with only email addresses completed on the surveys. Those that responded to the survey were predominately white (94.9%), female (74.1%), between the ages of 18 to 22 yrs. (94.9%), resided on campus (79.6%), had self-reported QPA s between 3.0–3.9 (79.7%) and did not participate in intercollegiate athletics (72.6%). The response by class year was somewhat uniformly distributed with freshman comprising the highest number at 28.5% and sophomores the lowest at 22.0%.

Summary of Findings

Students at Saint Francis are generally satisfied with their educational experiences, as is evident from both the questionnaire data and the open-ended comments. The overall rating for all areas surveyed was 3.74 from a total possible score of 5.00 (which suggests that most student ratings were between 3.50 and 4.00). Only one area had a score under 3.00, (Parking 2.76) and the next lowest score was 3.12 (Athletic facilities). Mail Services, Academic Advising and the Library were rated the highest, with cumulative mean scores of 4.13, 4.01 and 4.00 respectively. The highest individual score within a given area was 4.29; which asked students to rate the attitude of their academic advisor.

A total of 164 students opted to comment on their overall educational experience in question 22. From those 164 student comments, we "parsed out" 59 positive and 226 negative comment fragments. Most of the positive comments were related to faculty, staff, and the University in general. The negative comments were clustered around food service, facilities, and parking.

Caveats

In general, the effort to collect meaningful and insightful data from our students in such a short amount of time proved to be very successful. However, there were a few issues that we will need to address for next year's implementation. For instance, we will ask for the major of each student in the Demographics section; it was not included this year. The survey response was not as representative of the overall undergraduate student body as it should be so, we will find ways to improve representation. The inclusion of prizes as encouragement for students to participate was a key to the rather high survey participation rate; we intend to pursue a similar, if not a more robust incentive plan next year. Additionally, we will conduct student focus groups in the fall to discuss more thoroughly the significant findings.

al Undergraduates Surveyed al Responses al Responses(minus intentional Indancles)	1,363 528 522	38.8% 38.3%									
, Please rate each of the following su	ipport s	ervices.	Satisfied (40)		a ny cara	shed (2.0)	verv.Dissatisfied (1.01:4	Mean Score	N-1 0171		tal n
	Very Sati		Sausieu (#V)		ALCOHOLD THE		N 5 %	I IEGII SCUIO		able to Judge To % of Tot.	otal Kesponses
		.15 9% J	262 53 5%		y, 1, 1, 5, 6	8 11.6%	10 20%	3.69	4	0.8%	494
okstore	62	"大大社会等均高的规	157/41.1%	117.00		7 45%	4 1.0%	3.83	110		492
Longue Ministry	95	72.2%	209 48.9%	80 118.7		6 - 8 4%	∰ 7 1.6%°.		64	13.0%	491
test upath Services	133	79.4%	-258-57.0%	55 50 511 t)%####################################	1 7 2 4%	## 1 v 0.2%	413	41	8.3%	494
ail Services (on-campus post office)	en en arten	dita di manana	Company of the second second second second	Walter and the state of the sta	Charles of Contract of Contrac	ers and are reliable followed and experience services of a	Bertiffet on the Bratistic Commence of A. Alband Commence	(approximately week)		ed question	494
									skipp	ed question	34
23. Academic Center for Enrichment Academic Counseling ACT 101 Program DASIS Program SAGE Special Needs Accommodation Supplemental Instruction Festing Center Futorial Services Nriting Center	Very Sai 56 20 20 19 36 20 75 52	22.6% 14.3% 11.5% 15.5% 13.0% 19.0% 13.8% 2/2%	Satisfied (4.0)/s 107/ 43/19/6 49/ 35/09/6 51/ 36/79/6 58/ 25/30/6 79/41/89/6 56/ 38/69/6 129/ 46/79/6 99/ 40/99/6	Nettie Sal/Dissal. 13 29 67 47 67 48 67 51 171 48 70 37 65 44 56 20 75 31		Siled (2.0) 0. 4.0% 3. 7.1% 4. 7.9% 1. 2.3% 1. 2.3% 3. 8.9% 3. 2.1% 3. 2.1% 3. 3.7%	Very Dissatisfied (1:0) A	3.83 3.60 3.55 3.57 3.34 3.78 3.63 3.93	245 354 354 364 348 304 349 215 250 answer	able to Judge To % of Tot. 49.7% 71.7% 71.8% 73.8% 70.4% 61.7% 70.6% 43.8% 50.8% ed question ed question	493 494 493 494 493 494 493 494 491 492 494 34
Q4. Academic advising/ retention ser	vices										
Q4. Academic advising/	225 177 1472	atisfied (5,0)	Satisfied (4,0)	wellfiet Sal/Dissal	(3.0) Dista	usried (2.0) 📖 😜	Very Dissatisfied (LD)	Mean Score,	Not Appl/Un	able to Judge To	otal Responses
		N 1 W 1	2011	A PLANT		ህ ታልነት ድ	Signal Confidence			% of Tot.	
Exploratory Advising	3	4 18.0%	2 83743.9%	57. p30	2.0	11.75.876	3 A 2.1%	3.70	305	61.7%	494
Firef-vear Advising	3.12		-167-4211%	65 (45	200		4 12 43.0%	3.92	95	19.3%	492
New Student Placement	34		,127, 51,2%	85 52	U70.0	2.070	を表現して0.8%を 2 一1.2%	3 <i>9</i> 9 ~	243	49.5%	491
SETAINS PROGRAM	1	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	537.3277%	# = 65=54.		31 5 5 5%	1 23%	3.52	324	66.7%	486
of academic advisor	Carlotte Carlotte	9 42.0%	1891.3919%	74 15	COLUMN TO THE REAL PROPERTY.	29.3.6.0%	2.5% 21.57 14%	4.13 3.96	14	2.9%	488
because of information received for curriculum, stand	dar 13		+ 240, 49,5% = 164, 33,7%	36 7	"在这种的是一种性性的是在, 你	24 4.9%	**************************************	3.30 1.4.29	9 5	1.8%	494
Authorized of academic advisor		The state of the state of the state of	212 43 4%	2000年100日 100日 100日	00/2015	27 95.5%	6 12%	413	5 4	1.0%	491
has of times met with academic advisor		0 38.9% 4 19.8%	123 45.1%		796	1 2 100	1	3.78	•	0.8% 44.3%	492 490
drowal/Leave of Absence Dollcy			211 43.7%	33701	30.00 A 50	13304 F6.53	37.14%	4.16		1.4%	490 490
Overall rating of academic advising	ME-40	19 39.1%	一种,一种,一种,一种,一种,一种,一种,一种,一种,一种,一种,一种,一种,一			ZOSEZUDKAISTEN VERTE	cumulative		-	ed question	490 494
							KO CONTROLLE	STEER MATTER		ed question	34
									Supp	an question	51

)5. Athletics

o Athletics			
itness Facilities (Weight Lifting, Track, Pool) Atercollegiate Athletics Atramural Sports Accreational Activities and Facilities (Courts Ports Information Ports Medicine Services Athlete Development Athlete	Very Satisfied (5.0)	Not Appl/Unable to Judge To N % of Tot. 77 15.6% 179 36.5% 213 43.1% 114 23.1% 146 29.7% 227 46.0% 256 51.8% 318 64.9% answered question skipped question	494 491 494 493 491 494 494 490 494 34
§6. Business Office			
ach u	Very Satisfied (5:0) Satisfied (3:0) Wheblie's 5at/Dissat (3:0) Satisfied (2:01.13 Sylery Dissatisfied (1:0) Nean Score	Not Appl/Unable to Tudge To	ntal Resnonses

ash Handling Transactions		Not Appl/Unable to Judge To N % of Tot.	ital Responses
tudent Accounts/Billing Services tudent Payroli Process	97 21.7% 225 50.3% 28 486 49 28	92 18.7% 45 9.1% 122 24.9%	492 492 490
	cumulative 3.89	answered question skipped question	492 36

27. Career Services

Very Satisfied (5.0) Satisfied (4.0) Neither Sat/Dissa (3.0) Elisatisfied (2.0) Very Dissatisfied (1.0) Médit Scote Acreer Testing/Counseling N	Not Appl/Unable to Judge Tol N	493 492 493 493 493 493 35
--	---------------------------------	--

§8. Information Technology Services

animology Services				
Cess to Campus Network	Very Satisfied (5.0) it Satisfied (4.0) Neither Sat/Dissat (3.0) v Dissatisfied (2.0) v Very Dissatisfied (2.0) Neither Sat/Dissat (3.0) v Dissatisfied (2.0) Neither Sat/Dissatisfied (2.0) V Neither Sat/Dissatisfied (2.0) Neither		able to Judge To <u>% of Tot.</u>	otal Responses
Ptop Program Pair Services	96 221% 7218 50 20% 3 3 4 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	12 57	2.4% 11.6%	493 491
lining	88 18:5% 226 A7:5% 80 316:8% \$ 216:5 180 1 26 55% 3162	13	2.7%	489
	95 21/7% 7233 53/29% 19-1/65 148% 384 49 142% 1 146 42/30% 117 83/39% 1 17 28/3/9% 1 18/8 3 84 18/8 3 860	53	10.8%	491
	3.60		29.9%	492
	cumulative 3:67	answere	ed question	493
		skippe	ed question	35

sis Intervention ucational Programming Intal Health Services Intercept Program Intercept Program	Very Satisfied (5.0) Satisfied (4.0) Neither Sat/Dissat (3.0) Dissatisfied (2.0) Every Dissatisfied (1.0) Mean Score N 20 15.0% 50.37.6% 150.37.6% 13.98% 31.48 22 15.8% 54.38.8% 57.41.0% 20.00% 64.3% 3.62 17 13.4% 42.33.1% 55.43.3% 37.3% 0.00% 16.10.5% 3.50 28 18.3% 52.34.0% 57.37.3% 0.00% 16.10.5% 3.50 16 12.1% 44.33.3% 52.39.4% 0.00% 20.0% 20.15.2% 3.27 ctimulative 13.46.7% 13.46.7% 13.46.7% 14.33.3% 15.2% 3.27 15.2% 3.27 15.2% 3.27 15.2% 3.27 15.2% 3.46.7% 15.2% 3.46.7% 15.2% 3.46.7% 15.2% 3.27 15.2% 3.27 15.2% 3.27 15.2% 3.27 15.2% 15.2% 3.27 15.2% 3.27 15.2% 3.27 15.2% 3.27 15.2% 3.27 15.2% 3.27 15.2% 3.27 15.2% </th <th>352 71.7%</th> <th>Responses 491 491 487 491 490 491 37</th>	352 71.7%	Responses 491 491 487 491 490 491 37
10. Dining Services	。 第22 至于特別。我是不是他的政治學是是在學名的人,實際是學的學名的學名的學名的學名學名為可能的學名的學名的學名的學名的學名的學名的學名的學名的學名的學名的學名		
ankies al Plans dua Food Cart (Padua Express) rvian Dining Hall	Very Satisfied (5.0) Sayethed (4.0) Near Social No. 1 Near Social No. 1 Near Social No. 2 N	Not Appl/Unable to Judge Total N. % of Tot. 13 2.6% 50 10.2% 48 9.8% 28 5.7% answered question skipped question	492 491 492 493 493 35
11. Financial Aid	· 化合成物性性,可有效性的原因性性的原因性性性性性,可以使用性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性		
	Very Satisfied (5.0) : , 'Satisfied (4.01) : Neither Sat/Dissat (3.0) : , 'Dissatsfied (2.p) : , 'Very Dissatisfied (1.0) : Mean Scots	Not Appl/Unable to Judge Total N % of Tot.	l Responses
nancial Aid Counseling nancial Aid Information	54 15.2%; 160 45.1%; 94 26.5% 137 10.4%; 10.5 2.8%; 3.59 55 13.2%; 199.47.6%; 112 26.8%; 3.38 9.1%; 3.14 5 3.3%; 3.38	138 28.0% 75 15.2%	493 493
an Information and Processing	51 42.8% 185 46.6% 106 26.7% 125 45 11.3% 410 42.5% 23.56	95 19.3%	492
holarships	70 15 2% 7 208 45 1% 70 197 21 0% 46 60 313:0% 22 26 56% 3.51 cumulative 3:56	32 6.5% answered guestion	493 493
	ESTELLINGUES EXCENTED	skipped question	35
L2. Pasquerilla Library	Very Satisfied (3.0) 32 55tisfied (4.0) 32 Neither Sat/Dissat (3.0) 32 1 Dissatisfied (2.0) 32 32 Very Dissatisfied (1.0) 2 Mean Score	Alah Awal Glashia ka Judaa Taka	d Dagmana.
	NEW WAR TO THE WAR TO SEE THE WAR TO	Not Appl/Unable to Judge Tota N <u>% of Tot.</u>	n Responses
sistive Technology eference Assistance	88 21.7% 242 59.8% 58. 68 16.8% 12.67 1.7% 1 0 0.0% 4.01 123 27.9% 264 59.9% 45 40.2% 12.8% 1.8% 1.8% 1.0.2% 4.13	87 17.7% 52 10.5%	492 493
oks, Journals, and Periodicals	95 204% 285 61 3% # 66 1142% # 7 5 7 8 7 8 4 2 0.4% 3 198	27 5.5%	493 492
formation Literacy	73 177% 190 46 196 20 94 72 8% 27 27 26 68% 2 6 8% 2 3 61	80 16.3%	492
terlibrary Loan/Document Delivery	90 253% 196 5511% 4 61 47 1% 7 7 7 7 10% 4 2 06% 4 03 121 26 1% 280 60.5% 2 50 010.8% 4 12 2 4% 2 1 02% 4 10	137 27.8%	493
xess to Online Resources eaching Learning Technology Center (TL	是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个	28 5.7% 234 47.6%	491 492
orary Hours	148 31.0% 256 53.6% 44 9.2% 22 46% 2 8 1.7% 4.08	15 3.0%	493
orary Atmosphere	137 5 28:4% 32.55.3% 61. 12.6% 51. 12.6% 51. 12.6% 51. 12.6% 51. 12.6%	8 1.6%	491
	climulative 4:00	answered question skipped question	493 35
		stapped question	33

3. Registrar	等。1500年1500年,1500年,1500年,1500年,1500年,1500年,1500年,1500年,1500年,1500年,1500年,1500年,1500年,1500年,1500年,1500年,1500年,1		
urse Registration ide Reports iedule Changes inscripts	Very Satisfied (5.0) Satisfied (4.0) Neither Sat/Dissat (3.0) Dissat/Sied (2.0) Very Dissat/Sied (1.0) Mean Score N 96 N 96 N 96 N 96 3.64 103 21296 217 244796 2480 16.596 55.11396 30.86296 3.64 110 22.7% 291 60.096 55.513496 15.5196 45.0896 40.0896 40.0 107 23.1% 250 53.996 268 14.7% 25.53% 10.22% 3.89 104 22.4% 274 58.9% 67 14.4% 16 3.4% 4 0.9% 3.98 cumulative 3.88	Not Appl/Unable to Judge Tol N % of Tot. 5 1.0% 5 1.0% 26 5.3% 23 4.7% answered question skipped question	490 490 490 490 488 490 38
4. Residence Life	Yery Satisfied (5.0), Satisfied (4.0) A Neither Sat/Dissat (4.0) Satisfied (2.0) A Very Dissatisfied (1.0) Mean Store	Not Appl/Unable to Judge To	tal Barnangar
sidence Hall Programs using Facilities (Dorm rooms, bathrooms	N 2 1	Not Applyonable to Judge 10 N % of Tot. 81 16.6% 64 13.0% answered question skipped question	488 492 493 35
5. Student Activities and Social Clin	While I had not been a characteristic from the contract of the		
ademic Clubs mpus Awareness of Cultural Diversity Iternity and Sorority System ormation Desk at JFK w Student Orientation mber of Culturally Diverse Programs mber of Recreational Activities and Faci ality of On-campus Activities and Events cial Clubs ansportation to Off-campus Activities an	66 14.7% 222 49.6% \$96 21.4%, 2 57.56 12.5% 5 8 1.8% 23.63 58 15.1% 197 51.4% 3.63 3.74	Not Appl/Unable to Judge To N % of Tot. 103 21.0% 127 25.8% 163 33.2% 62 12.7% 119 24.2% 145 29.5% 74 15.1% 44 8.9% 107 21.8% 163 33.1% answered question skipped question	491 492 491 490 491 492 491 492 490 492 492 36
l6. Student Development Office	Very Salisfied (5,0) (# Salisfied (4,0) # Aheliher Sal/passat (3,0) # Vibissatisfied (2,0) # Very Dissatisfied (1,0) # Mean Score:	Not Appl/Unable to Judge To	otal Responses
ormation About the Discipline Process Ilticultural Affairs	N % N	N % of Tot. 200 41.3% 254 52.4% answered question	484 485 488 40

40

skipped question

7. Telecommunications

ole Television ig Distance Telephone Service ephone Service on Campus

Very Satisfied (5.0)Satisfied (4.0) Nei	ther Sal/Dissae (3:0) - Dissausfied [2:0) Very Dissatisfied (1,0) Mean Score
N 96 N 25 -108 - 25 9% \$2 241, 57.8 % \$2	No. 20 Sept. 1985. With the control of the control	N % %
26 12.3% 76 36.0% 56 16.5% 167.49.1%	. 82. 38.9% (20 39.	96 985 7 3 dPG > 7 3 45
.56. 16.5%	* 189 26.2% SY \$20 55.	1%27 3.71 2.4% <u>1.3.71</u>
		cumulative 3.80

to Judge Tota	l Response
of Tot.	
5.1%	491
6.9%	490
0.6%	490
question	491
question	37
	to Judge Tota of Tot. 5.1% 6.9% 0.6% question question

8. University Police

8. University Police	
•	Very, Satisfied (5:0) Satisfied (4:0) Neither Sat/Dissat (3:0) Satisfied (2:0) Very Dissatisfied (1:0) Mean Score
	**Yery-bausrieu (5:0) % - Sausrieu (4:0) % Neither Sar/Lissar (5:0) % 25 Dissarsi (2:0) % - Kery-bissarsi ieu (1:0) % Weart Score
ergency Services	4 X 2 90 1972 1976 1972 20 5 3 5 7 7 2 7 6 3 6 6 6 7 5 7 5 19 19 7 6 7 5 7 5 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19
king Services	48 0.00/ 120 27.10A 27.10A 27.66 0 Feb. 60.00 3 40 61 0 5 20 20 4 5 10 5 20 70A 30 25 74
King Services	
ety Information	86 19.7% 213.48.9% 111 225.5% 22 4.6% 3 6 1.4% 3.81
'	THE PARTY OF THE P
	# Cuttingnal # Sept. H.V. 25

Not Appl/Un	able to Judge	Total Response
И	% of Tot.	
99	20.1%	492
49	10.0%	492
54	11.0%	490
answer	ed question	493
skipped question		35

9. Internships

ernship	Placement Assistance
ernship	Supervision

Very Satisfied (5:0) 7. Satisfied (4:0)	Neither Sat/bissat (3.0)	Sfied (2.0) Very Dissatisfied (1.0) N 26 1 7.396 6 4.096 6 4.096	dean Score
N 306 7 N W		N. 7% 24 N = 7%	
23 153% 5 56 37 3 9	6 3 5 54 36.0%	1 7,3% ; #1 64.0%	3.53
22 14.8% + 5 62 41.69	658-35 1547 136.2% (1534)	6::4.0% 1912 - 5:34%	3.60
		cumulative	3.57

Not Appl/Unable to Judge Total Responses					
N	% of Tot.				
338	69.3%	488			
341	69.6%	490			
answer	490				
skipp	ed question	38			

:0. How important are each of the following learning resources in helping you to succeed in your courses?

	Not	Used	Used Occasionally		Used Frequently		Essentia	1	Total Responses	
	Ŋ	%	И	%	N	%	N	<u>%</u>		
:bCT	18	3.7%	93	18.9%	149	30.3%	232	47.2%	492	
ident Laptops	15	3.0%	14	2.8%	52	10.5%	412	83.6%	493	
squerilla Library Electronic Resources	27	5.5%	142	28.8%	177	35.9%	147	29.8%	493	
squerilla Library Print Resources	40	8.1%	164	33.3%	119	24.2%	169	34.3%	492	
								answered question	n 493	
								skipped question	n 35	

EMOGRAPHICS

Q23. Gender

Male 127 25.9% Female 364 74.1% 491 answered question skipped question

Q27. Are you an international student?

	N	<u>%</u>
Yes	3	0.6%
No	486	99.4%
	489	answered question
	39	skipped question

Q24. What is your year of study?

	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
Freshman	140	28.5%
Sophomore	108	22.0%
Junior	124	25.2%
Senior	113	23.0%
Fifth Year	7	1.4%
	492	answered question
	36	skipped question

Q28. GPA

	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
0-1.9	3	0.6%
2.0-2.9	74	15.2%
3.0-3.9	389	79.7%
4.0	22	4.5%
	488	answered question
	40	skipped question

Q25. Age

	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
18-22	468	94.9%
23-25	17	3.4%
26-29	1	0.2%
30-40	3	0.6%
41-50	4	0.8%
51 & over	0	0.0%
	493	answered question
	35	skipped question

Q29. Housing Status

	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
Resident	390	79.6%
Commuter	100	20.4%
	490	answered question
	38	skipped question

Q26. What is your ethnicity?

	N	<u>%</u>
American Indian / Alaska Native	2	0.4%
Aslan / Pacific Islander	1	0.2%
Black	9	1.8%
Hispanic	4	0.8%
White	464	94.9%
Non-Resident Alien	1	0.2%
Unknown	8	1.6%
	489	answered question
	39	skipped question

Q30. Campus Location

	N	<u>%</u>
Loretto	472	96.3%
External Site	18	3.7%
	490	answered question
	38	skipped question

Q31. Do you participate in Intercollegiate Athletics?

	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
Yes	135	27.4%
No	358	72.6%
	493	answered question
	35	skipped question

estion <u>mber</u>	Survey Area	Mean Score	Rank	Question Number	<u>Survey Area</u>	Mean Score	<u>Rank</u>
	Attitude of academic advisor	4.29	1	q7	Graduate/Professional School Assistance	3.65	47
	Overall rating of academic advising	4.16	2	q13	Course Registration		48
2	Reference Assistance	4.13	3	q15	Fraternity and Sorority System		49
	Number of times met with academic advisor		4	q15	Quality of On-campus Activities and Events	3.63	50
	Mail Services (on-campus post office)	4.13	5	q3	Testing Center		51
	Availability of academic advisor		6	q9	Educational Programming	3.62	52
2	Access to Online Resources		7	q8	Laptop Program		53
2 2 2 7	Library Hours		8	q12	Information Literacy		54
2	Library Atmosphere		9	q14	Residence Hall Programs	3.60	55
7	Cable Television		10	q19	Internship Supervision		56
	Cash Handling Transactions		11	q3	ACT 101 Program	3.60	57
2 :	Interlibrary Loan/Document Delivery	4.03	12	q8	TrainIng		58
2	Assistive Technology		13	q11	Financial Aid Counseling		59
2 3 0 3 5	Grade Reports		14	q11	Financial Ald Information	3.58	60
0	Frankles		15	q3	SAGE	3.57	61
3	Transcripts		16	q11	Loan Information and Processing	3.56	62
5	Information Desk at JFK		17	q5	Student Athlete Development	3.56	63
2	Books, Journals, and Periodicals		18	q3	OASIS Program		64
	Amount of information received for curriculum, standards, and procedures		19	q19	Internship Placement Assistance		65
2	Teaching Learning Technology Center (TLTC)		20	q4	RETAINS Program		66
5	Academic Clubs		21	q11	Scholarships		67
	Job Search Assistance (resumes, interviewing skills, resources		22	q15	Number of Culturally Diverse Programs		68
\ 0	Padua Food Cart (Padua Express)		23	q15	Campus Awareness of Cultural Diversity	3.50	69
	Tutorial Services		24	q9	Personal Counseling		70
	First-year Advising		25	q15	er of Recreational Activities and Facilities (tournaments, plng pong, pool, etc.)		71
8	Emergency Services		26	q9	Crisis Intervention		72
3	Schedule Changes		27	q15	Transportation to Off-campus Activities and Events		73
	Career Testing/Counseling		28	q17	Long Distance Telephone Service		74
5	New Student Orientation		29	q10	Torvian Dining Hali		75
	Help Desk		30	q8	Access to Campus Network		76
	Repair Services		31	q16	Multicultural Affairs		77
	Employer Connections (job fairs, on-campus Interviews, websites		32	q10	Meal Plans		78
	Campus Ministry		33	q9	Mental Health Services		79
	Academic Counseling		34	q16	Information About the Discipline Process		80
	Student Accounts/Billing Services		35	q5	Sports Information		81
	Student Health Services		36	q14	ing Facilities (Dorm rooms, bathrooms, fitness rooms, study lounges)		82
8	Safety Information		37	q3	Special Needs Accommodation		83
	Student Payroll Process New Student Placemen		38	q5	Intercollegiate Athletics		84
	New Student Placemen Supplemental Instruction		39	q5	Study Table		85
	Supplemental Instruction Withdrawal/Leave of Absence policy		40	q5	Sports Medicine Services		86
	withdraway.Leave of Absence points Social Club:		41	q5	Recreational Activities and Facilities (Courts and Fields)	3.27	87
5	Writing Cente		42	q5	Intramural Sports		88
	Telephone Service on Campu		43	q9	Substance Abuse (Intercept Program)	3.27	89
7	Exploratory Advising		44 45	q5	Fitness Facilities (Weight Lifting, Track, Pool)		90
	Exploratory Advising Bookston		45	q18	Parking Services	2.76	91
	DOUNSION	2 3,03	46	1			

SUMMARY OF THE SURVEY RESPONSE "Not Applicable/Unable to Judge"

Question								
<u>Number</u>	Survey Area	-	Jnable to Judge	Question		Not Appi/U	Jnable to Judge	
	Extroy Med	И	% of Tot.	<u>Number</u>	Survey Area	И	% of Tot.	,
q9	Mental Health Services	360	77.00/	Late				
q3	SAGE	364	73.9% 73.8%	q15	Social Clubs	107	21.8%	
q9	Substance Abuse (Intercept Program)			q15	Academic Clubs	103	21.0%	
q9	Crisis Intervention	358 358	73.1%	q18	Emergency Services	99	20.1%	
q3	OASIS Program		72.9%	q4	First-year Advising	95	19.3%	
q9 q3	Counseling Educational Programming	354	71.8%	q11	Loan Information and Processing	95	19.3%	
q3	ACT 101 Program	352	71.7%	q6	Cash Handling Transactions	92	18.7%	
q3 q3	ACE Testing Center	354 340	71.7%	q12	Assistive Technology	87	17.7%	
	ACE Special Needs Accommodation	349 348	70.6%	q14	Residence Hall Programs	81	16.6%	
q19	Internship Supervision	-	70.4%	q12	Information Literacy	80	16.3%	
q19		341	69.6%	q5	Fitness Facilities (Weight Lifting, Track, Pool)	77	15.6%	
q9	Internship Placement Assistance	338	69.3%	q11	Financial Aid Information	75	15.2%	
q4	Personal Counseling Advising/Retention RETAINS Program	338	68.8%	q15	No. of Recreational Activities and Facilities (tournaments, ping pong, pool)	74	15.1%	
q5		324	66.7%	q17	Cable Television	74	15.1%	
q4	Athletics Study Table	318	64.9%	q2	Student Health Services	64	13.0%	
q3	Exploratory Advising	305	61.7%	q14	Housing Fac. (Dorm rooms, bathrooms, fitness rooms, and study lounges)	64	13.0%	
q7	ACE Supplemental Instruction	304	61.7%	q15	Information Desk at JFK	62	12.7%	
q17	Graduate/Professional School Assistance	296	60.2%	q8	Help Desk	57	11.6%	
q7	Long Distance Telephone Service	279	56.9%	q18	Safety Information	54	11.0%	
q16	Career Testing/Counseling	261	52.9%	q8	Repair Services	53	10.8%	
q5	Multicultural Affairs	254	52.4%	q12	Reference Assistance	52	10.5%	
q3	Student Athlete Development	256	51.8%	q10	Meal Plans	50	10.2%	
q3	Writing Center	250	50.8%	q18	Parking Services	49	10.0%	
q4	Academic Counseling	245	49.7%	q 1 0	Padua Food Cart (Padua Express)	48	9.8%	
q12	New Student Placement	243	49.5%	q6	Student Accounts/Billing Services	45	9.1%	
q7	Teaching Learning Technology Center (TLTC)	234	47.6%	q15	Quality of On-campus Activities and Events	44	8.9%	
q5	Job Search Assistance (resumes, interviewing skills, resources)	234	47.5%	q2	Mall Services (on-campus post office)	41	8.3%	
q4	Sports Medicine Services	227	46.0%	q11	Scholarships	32	6.5%	
q3	Withdrawal/Leave of Absence policy	217	44.3%	q12	Access to Online Resources	28	5.7%	
q7	Tutorial Services	215	43.8%	q10	Torvian Dining Hall	28	5.7%	
q5	Employer Connections (job fairs, on-campus interviews, websites)	213	43.2%	q12	Books, Journals, and Periodicals	27	5.5%	
q16	Intramural Sports	213	43.1%	q13	Schedule Changes	26	5.3%	
q5	Information About the Discipline Process	200	41.3%	q13	Transcripts	23	4.7%	
q15	Intercollegiate Athletics	179	36.5%	q12	Library Hours	15	3.0%	
q15	Fraternity and Sorority System	163	33.2%	q4	Avallability of academic advisor	14	2.9%	
q17	Transportation to Off-campus Activities and Events	163	33.1%	q8	Laptop Program	13	2.7%	
q8	Telephone Service on Campus	150	30.6%	q10	Frankles	13	2.6%	
q5	TrainIng	147	29.9%	q8	Access to Campus Network	12	2.4%	
q15	Sports Information	146	29.7%	q4	Amount of information received for curriculum, standards, and procedures	9	1.8%	
q11	Number of Culturally Diverse Programs	145	29.5%	q12	Library Atmosphere	8	1.6%	
q12	Financial Aid Counseling	138	28.0%	q4	Overall rating of academic advising	7	1.4%	
q 1 5	Interlibrary Loan/Document Delivery	137	27.8%	q13	Course Registration	5	1.0%	
q6	Campus Awareness of Cultural Diversity	127	25.8%	q13	Grade Reports	5	1.0%	
q 1 5	Student Payroll Process	122	24.9%	q4	Attitude of academic advisor	5	1.0%	
q5	New Student Orientation	119	24.2%	q4	Number of times met with academic advisor	4	0.8%	
q 2	Recreational Activities and Facilities (Courts and Fields)	114	23.1%	q2	Bookstore	4	0.8%	
	Campus Ministry	110	22.4%	1		-		